

NEGATIVE COMMENTS ABOUT ME

COLOR CODING:

Any bolded text indicates malicious statements made about me personally.

Bright red text indicates references to extortion or other crimes of moral turpitude. *(I am accused of extortion, in some way or another, 18-19 times in this document; I am also accused of blackmail once and fraud once. This is despite the fact that I have never been charged with extortion by anyone, never been subject to an counterclaim of extortion from Time Warner Cable, never been convicted of a felony of any sort, and been free of criminal charges since 2007, all of which Jacob Carpenter failed to mention in his biased hit piece on me)*

Dark red text indicates suggestions of physical violence or financial/reputational harm against me personally. *(Commenters advocate me being stoned, having my legs broken, being made to “go away” permanently, and being targeted by a hitman, as well as the subject of counterclaims and countersuits with the hopes that I will be punished, essentially, for being a consumer and employee rights advocate)*

Blue text indicates name-calling and/or labeling/branding of me. *(As summarized in the sister document for this document, entitled “BULLET LIST OF NEGATIVE TERMS USED TO DESCRIBE ME”, I have been labeled maliciously in about 133 different ways as a result of the Journal Sentinel hit piece, just on the Internet alone, and in ways that I never would have been, had it not been for the Journal Sentinel hit piece)*

Green text indicates the questioning of my motives in achieving my settlements/filing my lawsuits or suggestions that my job-seeking activities were illegitimate. *(Commenters make it clear that they bought into Jacob Carpenter’s false narratives about me having never wanted any of the jobs I*

applied for even though I worked for many of the companies I settled with, including Time Warner Cable itself, me applying for jobs solely seek settlements and/or lawsuits when in fact I've never admitted to any such thing, me being a "professional plaintiff" even though I've only filed four lawsuits in my entire life, and me being an extortionist, despite all of the above I had to say about my lack of a criminal record for the last seven to eight years)

Purple text indicates suggestions that I am now unemployable as a result of this defamation/libel/slander or that HR professionals/recruiters should not hire me to protect their companies. *(Commenters make it clear that they have come to the independent conclusion that I am unemployable or have had my employment prospects severely damaged by the Journal Sentinel hit piece and that HR professionals/recruiting will no longer consider hiring me going forward)*

Comments made on the JSOnline article at

<http://www.jsonline.com/news/wisconsin/professional-plaintiff-uses-credit-law-to-threaten-companies-win-230000-in-settlements-b99748699z1-384400481.html>:

- By *voiceoflightning*: "**When will he be charged with extortion???**"
- By *John Phillips*: "Every Dollar that he "Won", was paid for by the Insurance companies that the businesses he sued had policies with. So what does this mean to the consumers of products and services from these firms? Higher Prices! **This is not a Robin Hood story. This is a story about a greedy person who only cares about himself and to a lesser extent his Attorney.**"
- By *tookidz*: "This **bottom feeding, bad DNA, self absorbed, sample of the lowest level of sub human scum** that I have ever read about. **This complete waste of chemicals, oxygen, and valuable drinking water.** Public stoning was invented and used for a specific purpose and sometimes with good reason. **This human waste is a perfect**

candidate for a public stoning, and while that may sound harsh, this human waste has not any redeeming value to society or for that has zero value to itself."

- By *Fair Deal*: "I'm betting the resumes this lowlife is sending out in his phony job hunt are loaded with BS."
- By *John Phillips*: "When a corporation does something like this (taking advantage of a loophole to achieve an unintended consequence), they are scum. This guy and his Attorney are equally low life people, as they are taking advantage of an unintended consequence, for personal enrichment, while leaving those that they use for leverage with crumbs if anything."
- By *grumpyjay*: "Just another freeloading lowlife butt-wipe who needs to get a life. More than likely has NO qualifications to hold any type of job and has understandably NO friends!"
- By *Newman265*: "Wow if he put as much effort into actually working as he into suing these companies, he could actually be a productive member or society."
- By *north2south*: "@republica When is the last time the goodwill corporation screwed you? Yeah, the dude is that sleazy."
- By *north2south*: "@John Phillips Sigh. So you're one of those people who disseminates totally false information on the Internet. FYI, goodwill is a nonprofit. There are no owners, it is run by a board, no matter what your internet might tell you. You can go on their website and view their yearly tax filings, with salary information. So I will go back to my original post before you tried to make everyone around here less informed. The dude in the article is no good. He tried to extort goodwill.....a good corporation that helps millions of people a year."
- By *north2south*: "What a slimy extortionist. Tort is for people have been damaged in some way. This slime ball is perverting the tort system for his own financial gain, he has had zero hardship from anything that he has done. And tony, before you chime in, your either this dude or his brother, cause nobody would want to look like that big of a fool trying to defend this loser."

- By *luvtheovals*: “@north2south - cuz he's a whack job if he thinks this guy is a hard working American. He's **more like that goo you can't get off your shoe.**”
- By *No Expert*: “@Tonyspamoni : "Each and everyone of them made a conscious choice to not follow the law..." I doubt that this is the case. Most companies have their employment packet of information and I am sure there is a disclosure in there about credit checks and I am sure this disclosure was written by some attorney somewhere and widely used by many companies. **He seems to be arguing that the disclosure is not adequate. Also, most companies will settle on these things even if they did nothing wrong because attorney fees can quickly and easily turn into thousands of dollars to address this scumbags complaint. It is easier to give the leech a couple thousand bucks and have him sign a release so he can slither away back under his rock.**”
- By *Albertos A.*: “**"Professional plaintiff"** Something to aspire to be when you grow up kids.”
- By *ThomasNast*: “**I'm looking forward to reading about a counter suit alleging misrepresentation against this Wisconsin Rapids society dreg. He needs to have his assets tied up in an unusable knot.**”
- By *Rksport*: “**Pretty sure this guy is not campaigning for fair treatment of others. He is just trying to extort money.**”
- By *shystr1*: “Yes, this smells like abuse of the legal system. However, it IS a little hard to pity Time-Warner (who could easily comply with the law). **But isn't THIS also abusive?**”
- By *Jerry2015*: “**I would guess that Cory's picture will be hanging in every personnel office in Wisconsin on Monday morning.**”
- By *KrazyCat*: “@Jerry2015 They should hang the photo of him in the tank top with the American flag on it, **He is America incarnate! He is a fly in the ointment of the crappy American legal system, where maggots fester.. Flies rule!**”
- By *coloradopacker*: “This **scam artist** is the reason why my premiums on business liability are so high. What **a dickweed.**”
- By *Happy Tappy*: “**Cory, you are a bug, a parasite. Get a job and get a life. You're whars wrong with this country. Loser.**”

- By *KrazyCat*: “@Happy Tappy He is a **mutation that infects the legal system**, but that's the fault of the legal system. It is corrupt at its core, and usually services the "legitimate" money makers who of course deserve their \$100,000 cars and \$1,500,000 homes.”
- By *Gtownliberty*: “@Tonyspamoni Would you agree that anyone who was a passenger in your car when you were speeding should have the opportunity to sue you? After all, you did violate the law. Of course not. Nobody was harmed and that is why **this guy is a parasite. The law is there to protect people who suffer harm not trolls who don't intend to work for the potential employer and are just looking to extort money from companies.** Shame on the companies who caved into this **slug**. On all other things I can't stand TWC but kudos to them for standing up to this guy.

Comments made on the USA Today at <http://hh-ux-stage.usatoday.com/story/money/nation-now/2016/06/25/professional-plaintiff-uses-credit-law-win-settlements/86392782/> and the reposted IndyStar article:

- By *Michael Pedet*: “**Everyone named after him should file a class action lawsuit against him because they can't find a company to interview them.**”
- By *Pam Buzbee*: “this guy's **a grifter plain and simple, when he runs out on this scheme he'll just find another. There should be a law made to fix this rule and cut off his funds and his lawyers should have a ethics charges!**”
- By *Dean Champion*: “**This guy can be considered a scammer**, but ask just ask yourself how many legitimate job-seekers have been wronged... and would continue to be, if it weren't for someone willing to "fight the good fight"... even if it is for personal gain? Aren't they entitled to something for calling out bs when they see it? I wish more people did,,, then I might not be victimized as much by corporations trying to skirt the law.”

- By *Ryan Wolfe*: “Justice would be ruling in his favor that the companies broke the law in the way they notified applicants of the credit check. **But then averaging the amount of time he's actually spent at any of the jobs he's pulled this trick on.** Give him one full day's wages and move on. Once it's not profitable for him he'll stop preying on technicalities. The companies will fix the problem. Everyone wins. (or loses)”
- By *Ron Rex*: “This is **the kind of person we do not need in this world.** He is not an attorney but he is manipulating the law to fit his needs. Who in their right mind applies for over 500 jobs in 18 months? Obvioulsy he had no intention of keeping any of these jobs because many of them he is not sueing. If this was a liscensed attorney everyone would be up in arms about wasting time and money on frivolous lawsuits and you would be wanting to string him up. He is a professional con man and should be dealt with that way.”
- By *Dex Cotton*: “What a total douche bag.”
- By *Jim Carley*: “This guy may be an asshat but hes on to something!!! Ones credit has nothing to do with getting a friggin job”
- By *Rene Ramos*: “So how does he differ from Jesse Jackson with his scheme to blackmail companies who don't have a set number of minority employees?”
- By *Ron Allen*: “Why doesn't a company sue him for applying for a job he really doesn't want and wasting their time. Could be a class action from all the places he has applied.”
- By *Mike Roberts*: “Own a business and see how big your insurance premiums are because of a holes like this guy. Guess who ends up paying for it, we do, do you really believe those costs dont get passed down to the consumer. Same as the 15 dollar an hour bs for low level entry jobs that kids have before getting a real job. What an a hole, his attorneys are the same guys that chase ambulances. This

lazy f u c k should actually get a real job, oh yeah with his experience odds of making over 200k a year are slim to none, so he resorts to extortion. What a county we live in, run by attorneys and poloticians. I feel for our kids and their kids.”

- By Ayma Ratt: “What a new low this weirdo sets.”
- By Ja Auburn: “Shouldn't abuse of the legal system be punishable by and extremely painful sentence?”
- By Dino Thompson: “A pathetic way to make a living.”
- By Kelly Little: “The companies cannot claim extortion by him. Negotiations even by this abusive troll are never considered extortion or blackmail in a legal case All these big companies have HR depts. I cannot believe the Chamber of Commerce and all the right wing lobbying groups have not broadcast to big and little companies that this is the law A one page waiver . These companies spend all their time and money trying to cheat their employees but cannot be bothered to simply follow the law”
- By Richard Ratner: “Isn't that what hitmen are for?”
- By Randy Malone: “For all of you who are cheering on a professional palintiff for "sticking it to the evil corporation" you need to remember who these evil institutions are. They are made up of individual stock holders which include your 401k and pension plans. These frivolous lawsuits reduce your dividends and are usually passed on to the consumer through higher prices. If a corporation is breakng the law and causing harm they should pay. But most of these professional plaintiffs and their classes are no being materially harmed by these technical mistakes.”
- By James Womble: “Seems to me that Corey is using the same tactics that personal injury lawyers use, extorting settlements against a jury trial with potentially larger payouts. F Society”

- By *Kandis Harmon*: “While predatory litigation is a nuisance and slows down the court, if the companies actually followed the law to begin with there wouldn't be an opening for these types of tactics. While his and others like him are using sleazy methods, ultimately they are exposing a company's illegal actions and making examples of them so other companies follow the rule to avoid such lawsuits”
- By *Thomas Kleist*: “this is why people think our justice system sucks. you can sue for basically nothing and you look like a hero. i bet this douce never had a hard working job in his life.”
- By *Mary Tresidder*: “All HR offices need to print this and tape it on the wall, if you receive an application from this guy....BURN IT”
- By *Thomas Kavanaugh*: “Any judge who even hears one of these cases should be thrown off the bench”
- By *Mark Dinning*: “One could say he was predatory, I don't agree with going after the small businesses, but big companies are the sharks and we're just little winnows in the sea. If a winnow figures out how to take out the shark, more power to them. If more people started doing what he's doing maybe businesses would start treating people with more respect and quit lying to them in the hiring process.”
- By *Walt Schumate*: “this is one of the reasons companies relocate offshore this is extortion plain and simple companies should sue this guy for harassment hopefully, now no one will offer him a job anymore”
- By *You Gruber*: “If you don't think this costs YOU anything, where do you think the companies that you do business with get the money to pay off lawsuits like this.... Swift Transportation paid out \$4.4 million. Home Depot shelled out \$3 million. Domino's settled for \$2.5 million. And the grocery stores, clothing stores, EVERY place that you do business with has the potential to be attacked by A\$\$HOLES like

this. This costs EVERYONE money. We either get Tort reform, or pay more to **professional criminals** like this one.”

- By *Joel Ross Taylor*: “It seems to me that it is the fault of these companies to fully understand the legal implications of the job application process and act accordingly. **While I have no respect for this guy or other professional litigators who make a mockery of our legal system and end up costing consumers millions of dollars, this is the reason you have corporate lawyers.** Cross the t's and dot the i's. It sounds like when this law came out, or at least when a ruling was made against a hiring firm, all companies doing background checks would be motivated to add the single page verification.”
- By *Joe T Barone*: “**I understand the hate against the plaintiff.** But the truth of the matter is that while he is suing under a "technical" detail, the numerous companies have been running unlawful background checks based on the same "technicality". The harm is that they are illegally running personal information that has nothing to do with the hiring process and it's the only way to stop them. There's no "harm" when they "technically" break the law, but apparently there's a harm to them when he sues them for a "technical" violation.”
- By *Ted Miles*: “**This guy is just troling for a form of legal extortion. One of these times a judge with a pair will hand him his on a platter and treat him as the thief he is.** Youd I are the one o pay the price through highe prices fordirtbags like him, all because the print on the disclosure is not big enough. **He could do this crap to anyone so all should beware of this type. Once they do his back ground check one would be a fool to hire him.**”
- By *Mike Mac*: “Clean the Dorito's out of your keyboard. I agree, though. He is a **douche.**”
- By *Vern Johnson*: “**This A**hat needs to go away, permanently. Who isn't aware of the credit check when applying for a job?**”

- By *Matthew Williams*: “If you sue on behalf of other interviewees then they should be entitled to any settlement. Law must change.”
- By *Deb Smith*: “That's the new way to get ahead in life, quit working and sue!”
- By *Mike Mac*: “The new American way. Why work?”
- By *Peggy Stewart*: “IMO his demand for a settlement is extortion. IF he wanted the company to fully comply with (his interpretations of) the FCRA, he would say "ok, do this and my demands/law suit will go away". But no where does he indicate that. He just says give me big bucks and I will go away.”
- By *Bee Ann*: “In the old days they'd break his legs. Is it too late ?”
- By *Christopher Jay Campbell*, in response to the Bee Ann comment above: “There is something to be said for the old days.”
- By *Michael Shepard*, in response to the Christopher Jay Campbell comment above: “Christopher Jay Campbell That they were pure insanity and that the people wanting them back need to hurry up and shuffle off this mortal coil? Yeah, I quite agree. That needed to be said.”
- By *Jim Aksel*: “This type of behavior should be illegal”
- By *Alan Tuck*: “Carlos Azpurua If the notification was made, as even he says it was (just not to his satisfaction) he will lose. There is a reason he is so willing to take a couple thousand dollars as a settlement....because he won't win a case that goes to trial. If that happens the rule of law will indicate that, if the company made noification (which they did), they complied with the law.”
- By *Ryan Lucas*: “Look, he's nailing these companies for violating federal law, he maybe a professional plaintiff, but they are clearly violating the law in their hiring practices. Their HR people need to be fired, and job applications rewritten to conform with the law. In a since, he's doing a public service, and getting paid for it. I see nothing

wrong with punishing these large corps who don't follow federal law. God knows they get away with it 99.99% of the time.”

- By *Larry Ripley*: “Normally I would abhor **such unethical behavior** and condemn it, but this time the shoe is on the other foot. I have seen enough of the companies doing the same crap and far more to consumers over the past fifty years. I have my own list of egregious bullshit that large companies have done over the years and ignored doing the right thing even when it's pointed out to them. I'm proud of this guy and **I really should be criticizing for having the ethics of a Black Widow spider**, but anybody who can successfully stick it to the “we'll screw you whether or not you like” companies. These stinking so called communication companies need to be fined every time one of their more assertive customer service agents tells you you are wrong or an idiot.”
- By *Jeo Blow*: “Well, if true, it is a violation of a law. And fighting him in that case is just a way to continue to break the law. And if he wins, the others in his 'class' could also sue. And win. So you know the businesses will want to nip this. And probably threaten anybody who is employed by them and does sue with termination. That's probably a violation of some other law. The companies will get buried if the Justice Dept gets involved (which they probably won't, some other agency might). **On the flip side, this guy is acting a lot like a Patent Troll, their only business is threatening to sue and make money from settlements. So that's a big ding on him, in my mind. He'd have a higher moral ground if his settlements benefitted the class he purports to represent. And after somebody coughs up some amount to him, the next job applicant will still get screwed over if the policies don't change.**”
- By *Christopher Jay Campbell*: “I think it remains to be seen where TWC violated the law. They did disclose it to him as required by law;

just not in the way that he thought it should be disclosed. Further, there is a long-standing principal in American tort law that a plaintiff must actually be harmed in order to have standing to sue.”

- By *Jafo Chitown*, in response to the *Christopher Jay Campbell* comment above: “ Christopher Jay Campbell I don't agree with your first paragraph, but love the second paragraph. **Now we just need a judge to stand up and shut this troll down. His lawsuits don't fix anything but increase his bank account balance.**”
- By *Nancy Albert*: “There could be another side to this story, but **there appears to be good reason to think this guy is a worthless a-h out to make a buck by manipulating people and the law. He applied to 100s of jobs with no intention of working there, but to sue the company for a small technical violation. Sure, there MAY be a little violation of not making the credit check obvious enough, but that isn't his concern. He is not trying to make disclosure better or to benefit people who MAY not have gotten clear enough notification. His goal is to force the companies to pay him, and only him, to go away. He's using the law to blackmail for his own enrichment. At least according to the article...and his actions appear to be good evidence of the article's accusations.** Besides, he looks like a **manipulative jerk** on his FB page where he spouts all this holy religious mumbe-jumbo.”
- By *You Gruber*, in response to the *Nancy Albert* comment above: “Nancy Albert The Federal Government has injected itself into so much of society that you can't even offer a job to people without getting sued by **professional plaintiffs. Twisted laws for Twisted pockets.** If you think the government is there to protect you, it is NOT....the government is helping people ROB you. They the government robs you again every time you get Paid.”
- By *Jive Guru Dave*: “There needs to be a fund set up to remove predatory lawyers...permanently.”

- By *Christopher Jay Campbell*: “**Brad Chapman** It appears the companies did follow the law. Groshek appears to be trying to add additional disclosure requirements that are not required by the law. Groshek thinks the disclosure should be made on a separate, single page form, but nowhere does the law actually say that.”
- By *Mike Mac*: “**Zayna Leady** It's a long article, I know. But if you finish it, insurance takes care of stuff like this **nutjob**. Not worth their time.”

From comments made on the articles carried by the Appleton Post-Crescent, Green Bay Press-Gazette, Marshfield News Herald, Fond du Lac Reporter, Sheboygan Press, etc.:

<http://www.postcrescent.com/story/news/2016/06/25/professional-plaintiff-uses-credit-law-threaten-companies-win-230000-settlements/86390646/> (for example):

- By *Kev Gilmeister*: “**This guy's 'style'** , reminds me of a politician I know (Who just happens to be a LAWYER who can split hairs BETTER than a Groshek.) "I did not send or receive a SINGLE e-mail MARKED 'classified'. " Hillary Clinton (esquire). Her (expected) 'payoff' is MUCH bigger.”
- By *Tom Jones*: “This guy is my neighbor. **Get a real job you douche**”
- By *Dave Baur*: “He has no intention of ever working for anyone else. **His actions will prevent that.** He is a **Professional Bridge Burner!**”
- By *Carl Mujero*: “**Beware: Penalty for a Frivolous FCRA Lawsuit** The FCRA has a penalty for filing any lawsuit or subsequent court papers that are later determined to have been filed in “bad faith or for purposes of harassment.” You (or the defendant) may have to pay the other side's attorney fees if you/they file bad faith papers and lose. <http://www.nolo.com/legal.../remedies-fcra-violations.html> he

isn't a very good jailhouse lawyer. there is a reason he is trying to extort settlements rather than going to trial"

- By Carol Knudson: "this reminds me of the lying women running for president"
- By Brian Dawton: "Who is more slimy, one who extorts or the one who doesn't abide by the laws?"
- By Dave Bauer: "Threatening lawsuit and then demanding a settlement is unethical even though he caught the companies in a gotcha. Putting his own butt on the line and going through with the lawsuit for actual damages to his financial/personal reputation would be justified."
- By Brian Jojade: "He has yet to actually win a lawsuit. None of the companies have been proven to have broken the law. He is using extortionist tactics to get settlements from the companies, as he knows they are more likely to settle since it is cheaper than the cost of taking the case to trial. Props for Time Warner to foot the expense to actually defend themselves!"
- By John Thompson, in response to the Brian Dawton comment above: "Brian Dawton wrote: "Who is more slimy, one who extorts or the one who doesn't abide by the laws?" That depends. Is there any evidence to believe that the companies he is suing were intentionally trying to mislead potential employees in order to gain access to their information?"
- By Ed Karen Thomas: "If we call this guy's name, he might sue all of us too. However, we can all disapprove, and frown on this kind of public abuse."
- By Ed Karen Thomas: "People, such as this joker get perfectly ridiculous. These laws need changing, now. Glad you won that one by the way Lou."
- By Michael Lebonowitz: "Pretty sleazy extortionist if you ask me."

- By Joanne F. Bishop: **"I agree. He's using the law to line his own pockets and hurting legitimately aggrieved people because of it. Maybe he should be sued for pretending to be a human being?"**

From comments made on the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel's Facebook page at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=Milwaukee%20Journal%20Sentinel%20Groshek>:

- By James Krickeberg: **"I think what he's doing is kind of slimy, but at the end of the day these companies are breaking the law.** Congrats for him for being smart enough to make money on it. This story makes it seem like some big issue....if these companies just included the darn full page disclosure there would be no problems."
- By Benjamin Burdick: **"No laws broken. It's a technicality. He's a scumbag lazy ass 'murican. Too bad I said he's huh? Could be anyone. Sue away Suzy Q"** □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □
- By Justin Barbee: **"So he has no intention of keeping a long term job, threatens a lawsuit and now a story is released telling his story??? I don't see how this works out for him in the long run. Considering he has no job and that TWC would just bog this down for years in the courts to see who runs out of money first."**
- By Ryan MacDougall, in response to the Justin Barbee comment above: **"Apparently he thinks he can live of \$230k for the rest of his life."**
- By Justin Barbee, in response to the Ryan MacDougall comment above: **"But he wouldn't even get that as it would be divided with all the other plaintiffs on the lawsuit that went through the same thing. He's pretty much a legal con artist using the system to his advantage. Not illegal, prob immoral but there are plenty of other people that continuously file frivolous lawsuits to gain easy money. So its not unherard from."**
- By Ryan MacDougall, in response to the Justin Barbee comment above: **"It was a joke. Obviously this plan of action isn't going to get him very far."**

- By Trina Smith, in response to the Ryan MacDougall comment above: **"If he really doesn't want to keep a job and his argument is that the actions of these companies have hurt him I don't see how, if he has no intentions on working in the first place."**
- By Chris DuVall: **"The guy is obviously a piece of crap that plays the system very well. I only hope that this story makes companies stay away from him from now on!"**
- By Gerald Miller: **"I bet Cory's picture will be posted in every personnel office in the state on Monday morning."**
- By Shirley Liebenstein-Henning: **"His free \$ will quickly be coming to an end because these companies will comply with the law now that they're on to him."**
- By Justin Barbee, in response to the Shirley Liebenstein-Henning comment above: **"Or they just won't grant him an interview and throw his resume or application thru the shredder."**
- By Ryan Link, in response to the Justin Barbee comment above: **"Yup. I could see how nobody would want to hire someone that wants to work for a company that doesn't break laws...."**
- By John Cuff: **"This should be a crime and there is nothing liberal about it"**
- By Jean Law Pinkham: **"He should be sued by the companies - someone who doesn't want to work - free money in his view."**
- By Matt Barns: **"Wow, someone out did Time Warner in the sleazy business practice realm? Good for him."**
- By Brandy Mendonza: **"Brandy Mendoza Must be nice to not have to work all f'n day. And get a big pay out hmmmmm"**
- By Thomas Kavanaugh: **"This guy is a poor mans Trump, taking advantage of the system"**
- By Sara Burger: **"I think it borders in extortion because he is intentionally seeking these companies out solely for this purpose alone. He didn't come across it in a honest job search"**
- By PJ Wheats: **"The article fails to mention that he is clearly a virgin."**
- By Christopher Godfrey: **"Both impressed and disgusted."**
- By Michael Moureau, in response to the Christopher Godfrey comment above: **"Having his name plastered across the Internet like this"**

probably means he won't be invited to an interview ever again. Good luck supporting himself on that \$230k for the next 40ish years of his life."

- By *Krystle Genz*: "You are ridiculous! Don't do background checks! Really! A company that has high standards wants a trustworthy, responsible employee. **Not a scumbag that lives off the system.** As for the law, laws change everyday. More than likely the companies weren't aware of the consistently changing laws. **This scum did not have intention to keep a job. He is just using companies to his advantage. Just wrong.** People whine because prices go up. **We'll maybe if their wasn't so much scum looking for a free ride prices would not keep inflating, but since the world has evolved into this hardworking companies continue to loose and scum gain. Something is wrong with that picture.**"
- By *Rosalie Otto*: "**how disgusting**"
- By *Greg Logan*: "**POS...wow.**"
- By *Christina Howard*: "**Targeting goodwill? Nice.**"
- By *Justin Gower*: "**Guy sounds like a dirtbag. Here's an idea: get a job.**"
- By *Michael Tizzard*: "**Talk about a piece of work**"
- By *Kirill Edelman*: "**The opposite of job creator.**"
- By *Paul Bruno*, in response to the Kirill Edelman comment above: "**Aka democrat**"
- By *Greg Osborne*: "**Trump should look no further for his VP.**"
- By *Craig Matthews*: "**This man will tarnish his name and reputation over the minute chance of a large payout. His name will be blacklisted at every company in the state. Good luck having a job in 10 years.**"
- By *Mary Apfel-vandeyacht*: "**Grow the hell up. You're just being a jerk**"
- By *Benjamin Burdick*: "**Nate Schoenborn. It's like Bret's inner demon child**"
- By *Roddy Herbert Naper*, in an attempt to out the fact that I privatized my personal Facebook profile by changing my name and picture on it and making all of my personal information private: "**He goes by**"

Matthew Crush on Facebook. His profile picture is of an anonymous mask."

Comments made on 10News WTSP's Facebook page at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=10News%20WTSP%20%22Professional%20Plaintiff%22>:

- By *Veronica Dennis*: "Employment checks don't lower scores, if it did his score would be about 20. **He's wasting courts time and these companies time.** Sounds to me like letter was threat to company. **These professional plaintiffs should be charged for court time-win or lose."**
- By *Laurie L Proctor*: "I think this guy is a **loser**, but we do need a re-vamp of credit bureaus so your score isn't affected when you're searching for work."
- By *Kathryn Stephens*: "**Professional plaintiff....**"
- By *Michael Perry*: "**A cockroach of society.**"
- By *Linda Miranda*: "**He needs to be sued for baseless. Lawsuits**"
- By *Bob Bowman*: "**He's a millennial. What else would you expect a guy like him to do? work?**"
- By *Dwight Brown*: "Like the **professional plaintiff** from So FL who traveled the state with his attorney suing companies over alleged ADA violations. smh"
- By *Roddy Herbert Naper*, in an attempt to out the fact that I privatized my personal Facebook profile by changing my name and picture on it and making all of my personal information private: "**He goes by Matthew Crush on Facebook. His profile picture is of an anonymous mask."**

Comments made on the 10News WTSP's Facebook page at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=10News%20WTSP%20%22Professional%20Plaintiff%22>:

- By *Veronica Dennis*: "Employment checks don't lower scores, if it did his score would be about 20. **He's wasting courts time and these**

companies time. Sounds to me like letter was threat to company.
These professional plaintiffs should be charged for court time-win or lose."

Comments made on Dana Jurgella Tuszke's (the elder sister of my high school best friend, Nathaniel James Jurgella, who is standing up in my wedding on 8/13/2016) Facebook page at
<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=Dana%20Jurgella%20Tuszke%20%22Professional%20Plaintiff%22>:

- By Nathaniel James Jurgella: **"Just wow."**
- By Dana Jurgella Tuszke, in response to the Nathaniel James Jurgella comment above: **"I know! I can't even believe it. He'll never find a job now that's he's made national news. Hope he invests his extortion...I mean "income" wisely."**
- By Amy Springer: **"Wow. This is horrible ."**
- By Dana Jurgella Tuszke, in response to the Amy Spring comment above: **"I agree. I don't know if I'm in shock that he was brave enough to pull it off? Or disgusted that he thinks he can make a living this way? I mean where's the work ethic? He would quit or not show up then promptly sue? AWFUL."**

Comments made on 95.7 The Rock's Facebook page at
<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=95.7%20The%20Rock%20%22Plaintiff%22>:

- By Jenna Gunderson: **"so when suing people stops working and he needs a real job.... thats gonna suck to be him"**
- By Ezra Kazee: **"There is a difference between a violation and being a leech on society. Besides, at this point, even if he won in court, it is doubtful he would receive any money as he can't prove any actual damages which must be proven according to the Supreme Court recent ruling in a case involving Spokeo."**
- By Ezra Kazee: **"Leech. But he is practicing Americas favorite past time. Litigation. The laws are there to protect people, not to make**

you rich. There is no difference between this guy and the people who fake their own accidents.”

Comments made on Coffeepot News’s Facebook page at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=Coffeepot%20News%20Groshek>

:

- By Dane Lang: **“Loser POS”**
- By Roddy Herbert Naper (*the same guy who tried to expose my private Facebook profile in comments on the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel and WTSP Facebook pages; is clearly cyber-stalking me*): **“Loves gay sex”**

Facebook post by my former high school class mate *Jeremy Van Dree*l on a Facebook page for my high school graduating class’s Facebook page at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=Spash%20Alumni%20--%20Class%20of%202001%20%22Professional%20Plaintiff%22> and others’

comments on his post:

- By Jeff Rasmussen, *a man who graduated from high school with me*: **“I’m not sure whether to be impressed or disgusted with this. I’m a little of both.”**
- By Emerance Stroik, *a man who graduated from high school with me and was one of my close friends growing up*: **“Now that his name is out there, I don’t see any major company/corporation trying to hire him. Meaning no more background check will be run which means no more lawsuits to be filed. Next time he’ll be in court will be for bankruptcy because he won’t be able to find a job.”**

Comments made on David Geschke’s Facebook page at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=David%20Geschke%20%22Professional%20Plaintiff%22>:

- By Louis Holtz: **“I read that article in the fondy reporter. What a joke! Guy needs to get a real job and stop scamming places for a**

technicality. It makes the larger violations seem less than they are.

I'll probably get sued for this.."

- By *Candi Vis*: "If they broke the law, they deserve it. **Yes, being a professional plaintiff is a bit ridiculous, but he found a way to make money."**

Facebook post by *Glorianne Muggli* at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=Glorianne%20Muggli%20professional%20plaintiff%20human>:

- By *Glorianne Muggli*: "In my opinion, this guy is **a very low form of human being. He produces nothing, does nobody except himself any good, and seeks to destroy."**

Facebook post by *Kerry Beecher* at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=kerry%20beecher%20professional%20plaintiff>:

- By *Kerry Beecher*: "Here is why the costs of your goods and other products are so expensive. This **putrid representation of a human being** is essentially applying for a job, either getting it or not and then researches the hiring documents to see if they have a completely separate page or paper saying that he has to give them permission to do a credit check. The way around this for companies would to simply tell the candidate that if they want the job, they, not them must provide a credit check report no older than 30 days. Make it part of the requirement to even apply. **As far as the judges they all apparently suffer from cranial inversion if they cannot see that this is simply an extortion of the company."**

Facebook post by *Nate Hyle* at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=kerry%20beecher%20professional%20plaintiff> and others' comments on his post:

- By Nate Hyle: “**This a-hole.**”
- By Ryan Grubbs: “**F'ing douche-rocket.**”
- By Ryan Grubbs: “**Somehow I don't think this guy is pursuing litigation to make a point, or stick up for the little guy... He's doing it because he's a butt-munch who wants to get paid for exploiting the system.**”
- By Nate Hyle: “I'm not joking. I loathe when drivers don't yield to pedestrians in crosswalks, but I'm not about to start getting hit by cars in search of a monetary settlement, although doing so would likely result in an actual injury. Now, I'm no fan of jobs contingent on credit checks and I'm a firm believer in civil litigation, particularly when it's "the little guy" versus some bastard corporation, but this is ridiculous. **He's actively seeking "injury" so he threaten to sue with the goal of receiving a settlement.** And now, he's made good on some of his threats to sue, which means the courts have to get involved. Courts which everybody pays for. Judges, clerks, bailiffs, etc. all cost money and are not an infinite resource. **It would be one thing if he were doing this to, include other applicants in the suit, or to inform other people of their rights, and/or to get these companies to change their practices, but he's not. Like Ryan said, he wants to get paid for exploiting the system.** Also, if you check out his Facebook rapper page, it's impossible to feel any degree of sympathy for him. After checking it out, I feel like threatening to sue him for emotional battery.”
- By Jeremy Bratt: “If he stuck to suing cable companies, I might be supportive. But when you turn your lawsuits against Shopko, you have gone too far.”

Facebook post by *Tony Varga* at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=Tony%20Varga%20%22Professional%20Plaintiff%22> and others' comments on his post:

- By *Tony Varga*: “This may be one of the most insane, brilliant, stupid, genius stories I have ever read in my life. **A man applied to jobs without any intention of working at any of them, then sued the ones that didn't hire him using a loophole and has made \$230,000 in 18 months by mostly settling out of court.** What a country.”
- By *Bobby Ludan*: “**Yeah this guy is getting audited this year**”
- By *Tony Varga*: “He lives across the street from Lambeau and is an aspiring rapper and YouTube fitness guru. This is the movie Adam McKay has been looking to make after his success from *The Big Short*.”
- By *Aaron Burkhart*: “This guy sounds like **a real dick...I hope time warner stomps his ass in court....Prime example of why America is screwed**”
- By *Tony Varga*: “**I never said he came off like a hard working, contributing member of society.** I just admire finding a loophole and using it. I'm blown away he's made this much.”
- By *Kyle Christianson*: “I actually used to know this guy... he's **in the top 3 of the weirdest fucking sleezballs I've ever met.** At first I thought there was something "off," with him, and I think there might be, but I think he's **just more a terrible person lol**”
- By *Tony Varga*: “hahahahahah. I tried looking some of his stuff up last night. There is no doubt he sucks. I still can't deny what he did was anything short of brilliant.”

Facebook post by my former high school class mate *Jeremy Van Dree* on a Facebook page for my hometown Stevens Point, Wisconsin at <https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=You%20Know%20You%27re%20From%20Stevens%20Point%20If...%20%22Professional%20Plaintiff%22%20America> and others' comments on his post:

- By Brandi Makuski, a woman who runs a newspaper called the Stevens Point City-Times and who refused to pay me what she owed me for newspaper advertising sales I did for her a few years ago: **“Pretty embarrassing to think this guy is from Point. He's a professional "gotcha" man. Not a story worth sharing, IMO.”**
- By Terry Kawles, in response to the Brandi Makuski comment above: **“The thing is Brandi Makuski, as a New Yorker, I know they would LOVE him at Goldman Sachs. He's figured out a new way to game the system and he's pounced on it before anyone else has caught on. Sound familiar?”**
- By Kelly Clark: **“As a former Time Inc staffer and Time Warner Cable(now Comcast) stockholder I say you bit off a bit more than you can chew buddy-o! All Time Inc and its offshoots have brilliant legal minds on their payrolls. Time Warner managed to find out a local dry cleaner was using a Bugs Bunny logo here in smalltown Indiana. Sorry, that was all folks. I predict he's met his match...”**
- By Carrie Hintz Steckler: **“I was telling my husband about this idiot and when I mentioned TWC my husband laughed and said that guy won't last with the big shots.”**
- By Meg Flick: **“I wouldn't have shared this story. This is an embarrassment.”**
- By Jeremy Van Dreel: **“Terry Kawles it's still an embarrassment, but at a corporate level most people are desensitized to it.”**
- By Juan Gonzalez, another former high school class mate of mine: **“He's not doing it to highlight corporate bullshit, trust me”**
- By Robert Piotrowski: **“It's because of idiots like him the court system is all tied up.”**

Facebook post by Data Facts Background Screening at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=Data%20Facts%20Background%20Screening%20%22professional%20plaintiff%22>:

- By *Data Facts Background Screening*: “**This is the #hiring landscape. Protect yourself! Pro plaintiff uses #FCRA to threaten companies, win settlements.**”

Facebook post by *Whelan & Whelan* at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=Whelan%20%26%20Whelan%20professional%20plaintiff%20credit>:

- By *Whelan & Whelan*: “**Must read article about a “professional plaintiff” accused of exploiting #FCRA law for settlements**”

Facebook post by *John Williams* at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=John%20Williams%20%22Professional%20Plaintiff%22> and others’ comments on his post:

- By *John Williams*: “**I have encountered these people who know they are in the wrong but also know well how the system works. As they have said to me, "it doesn't matter because your company will just pay me off if I threaten to sue". And the company sends them a check...GRR**”
- By *Gayle Spencer Craig*: “**You can't change pathetic - unfortunately, neither can the system - it caters to them**”

Facebook post by radio station *Y108 Rocks!* at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=Y108%20Rocks!%20%22Professional%20Plaintiff%22> and others’ comments on its post:

- By *Y108 Rocks!*: “**On today's #HRFiles with Brian West: A guy in the US is being called a “Professional Plaintiff” because for the past few years, he's applied to 562 jobs without the intention of working for them. Instead he threatens to sue big companies who perform illegal background or credit checks on him during the hiring process. He's apparently made over \$200k in the last couple of years in settlement money. WHAT DO YOU THINK about what he's doing?**”

- By *Dave Hudson*: “The companies should not settle and take him to court. **Soon the courts will realize what's going on, and start charging him for wasting court time**”
- By *Ian Savage*: “Sad way to wake up everyday and know that's all you can look forward to. **LOOOOOSER!**”
- By *Kevin Takeda*: “**Unscrupulous**, but smart. As Dave Hudson said, if one company has the balls to take him on, it's game over.”
- By *Raymond Hietapakka*: “**Why not? America seems to have just turned into a place where it's ok to fuck people over...thank Hilary for that.**”

Facebook post by *Fuerst Insurance Services* at

<https://www.facebook.com/search/top/?q=Whelan%20%26%20Whelan%20professional%20plaintiff%20credit>:

- By *Fuerst Insurance Services*: “**Could this happen to you as a business owner? Are you protected? Do you have Employment Practices Liability Insurance? If not, you should consider speaking to your insurance agent, or you can contact me to discuss.**”

Twitter comments:

- By *Leslie Paul Machado (@les_machado)* at <https://twitter.com/search?q=Leslie%20Paul%20Machado%20Serial%20plaintiff&src=typd>: “**Serial plaintiff/FCRA abuse. Why #spokeo is so important.**”
- By *Deborah MTA (@tetra1956)* at <https://twitter.com/search?q=tetra1956%20uses%20credit%20law%20to%20win&src=typd>: “**‘Professional plaintiff’ uses credit law to win settlements Another Trump.** <http://usat.ly/28TAG7u> via @usatoday”
- By *Cara Lombardo (@CaraRLombardo)* at <https://twitter.com/search?q=Cara%20Lombardo%20%22Low%20Carb%20Cory%22&src=typd>: “**YouTube personality “Low Carb Cory” applied to 562 jobs in 18 months, via @MJS_JCarpenter**”

- By *George Stanley* (@geostanley) at <https://twitter.com/search?q=George%20Stanley%20credit%20law&src=typd>: **"Every company should be aware of this: 'Professional plaintiff' uses credit law to threaten companies"**
- By *Stoli* (@CRStoli) at <https://twitter.com/search?q=Stoli%20Plaintiff%20230&src=typd>: **"What a dirtball. --> 'Professional plaintiff' uses credit law to threaten companies, win \$230,000 in settlements"**
- By *Brendan Clark* (@bclark030) at <https://twitter.com/search?q=Brendan%20Clark%20troll&src=typd>: **"Congress must address colossal job app troll problem! #patentjoke - Professional plaintiff wins \$230k in settlements"**
- By *Data Facts* (@dfsscreening) at <https://twitter.com/search?q=Data%20Facts%20Pro%20Plaintiff&src=typd>: **"This is the #hiring landscape. Protect yourself! Pro plaintiff uses #FCRA to threaten companies, win settlements."**
- By *Crimcheck* (@Crimcheck) at <https://twitter.com/search?q=Crimcheck%20Serial%20Plaintiff%20WorkFirst&src=typd> and <https://twitter.com/search?q=Crimcheck%20Serial%20Plaintiff&src=typd>: **"Earn \$230k in Settlements become a Serial Plaintiff. Really?"**
- By *Acroprint* (@Acroprint) at <https://twitter.com/search?q=Acroprint%20Professional%20Plaintiff&src=typd>: **"'Professional plaintiff' snares over \$200k suing for noncompliant background checks. Is your company next?"**
- By *A-Check Global* (@ACheckGlobal) at <https://twitter.com/search?q=A-Check%20Global%20Pro%20Plaintiff&src=typd>: **"Pro Plaintiff Pockets More Than \$200k Targeting Businesses #Background Check Procedures: Is Your Company Next?"**

YouTube comments:

- By *marksuave25* at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6hilXWdSEc>: **"A drag on society."**

- By *Muscle Gainz* at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L6hilXWdSEc>:
“they should call him **low life Corey** he's coming they're trying to get **something for nothing** if he dies he dies”
- By *De La Seoul* at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Ar62awmz7k>:
“kind of strange if you ask me. I lived in atlanta pretty much all my life. As long as i can remember, even applying for jobs as a teen, it was always mentioned on the application if they're able to do a background check. **Cory better invest right with the money hes earn through suing these companies because he will never be hired again by anyone.**”
- By *JumpRopeLift*, in response to the *De La Seoul* comment above: “**I agree. Blacklisted.**”
- By *TeamPeople* at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zynu5GH29oI>:
“Checking your credit without telling you is wrong and he is right. However, if he went and applied at a bunch of companies knowing **their were going to check his credit without his permission with the intention that he will catch them and sue them, then he is a scum.** All the money he gets isn't going to fix his **ugly narcissistic personality.** Good for him I guess. Not how I would like to make money.”
- By *Michael Brown* at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zynu5GH29oI>: “it was a nice “get rich quick scheme” for him, but there is no longevity in what he's doing. Companies are going to catch on to this real quick, especially now that the article has been published. Also, now Cory's name is attached with this scheme so his chances of ever getting hired by a reputable company is tarnished. All I can say is that he better invest in some of that 200k he made because it will not last very long.”

Comments made on Reddit forum at

https://www.reddit.com/r/offbeat/comments/4pvnvh/professional_plaintiff_uses_credit_law_to/:

- By *Skyrmir*, in response to comment by *twoambien* about me doing a good thing: “Well he was, **now companies are going to Google his**

name, and this article will show up. Who knows though, he might be able to get the search result buried with another lawsuit."

- By *gustoreddit51*: "If they dismiss this case under the **"professional plaintiff"** banner does that give anyone defending against **patent troll** attacks any legal leverage?"
- By *Ninjiclan*: "As someone that dealt with him as Low Carb Cory, (this is Chase Smith btw), I think he's **the scum of the earth.**"

Comments made on AR15 forum at

https://www.ar15.com/forums/t_1_5/1882339_Wisconsin_Professional_Plaintiff_uses_credit_law_to_win_settlements_.html :

- By *rb889*: "**Blood sucking, bottom feeding piece of shit, but he doesn't actually have to do any real work. Though if he put that kind of effort into an actual fucking job...**"
- By *Dr_Dickie*: "I still think he is better than phone solicitors."
- By *4v50*: "**He may disappear someday.**"

Comments made on Creditboards forum at

<https://creditboards.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=570798>:

- By *FixnCred*: "Thus Cory guy is a **lazy putz**. I'm all for consumer rights. All against **douche bags** like him who raise the cost of credit with lawsuits. In the end we pay those legal fees as consumers. "
- By *FixNCred*: "**Yeah but hes purposely targeting employers with malicious intent just for his own personal gain.** I don't know enough about the legal aspects to give anything other than an opinion on how that article made me feel about a person who earns a living this way. No worse than a **cyber thief.**"
- By *PotO*: "**He is making a business out of it.** But he is right -- the employers are breaking the law. Not so long ago on CB there were well-established members and moderators going after CAs and JDBs left and right and getting some serious cash. People used to apply for

a mortgage pre-approval knowing that the credit pulls would flush out CAs and JDBs that they would then attack for inevitably breaking the FDCPA. I never got involved in that as I had other things in life to keep me busy, but when I've had CAs or JDBs call me instead, of just hanging up on them, I'd string them along until they went over the line and then have a lawyer generally mentioned on CB go after them and get a grand or two. There's a saying in Spanish: "El ladrona quien roba ladron tiene mil años de perdón." **A crook who rips off a crook has 1,000 years of pardon. ""**

- By *ICANHASMUNY?*: **"the employers are breaking the laws on technicalities..... and he was shopping for it . "**
- By *PotO*: **"What's your take on it? Do you consider him evil?"**
- By *unleashedfury*: **"I agree, it's almost like the ambulance chaser lawyers. The article said he applied to 562 jobs. Then filed a ITS or a complaint in court In all the jobs I was offered that required screening it was made very well aware to me that they would pull criminal background, DMV reports, credit reports. Etc. Ironically, this article may have blown his cover as it becomes known that this is his intentions prospective employers are just going to file his resume in the trash bin"**
- By *ICANHASMUNY?*: **"he thinks he's smart, but this isn't gonna pay off long term."**
- By *PotO*, in response to the *ICANHASMUNY?* comment above: **"Long term is dead. The cat's already out of the bag. But it was good while it lasted."**
- By *unleashedfury*: **"EXACTLY, Don't get me wrong there is always some shady employers. But realistically most HR depts. Are following what's handed down to them. For example a large company such as Wal-Mart or Amazon the top dawg might get a new rule or set of procedures to follow. The must pass it off to thousands of HR**

employees and have some sort of way to implement it. So now your in the waiting game until the world is getting caught up. **Like I said Dumbass let his story get published with his real name. I'm sure he just sabotaged any career he might have had, and probably his hope to even work at a McDonald's drive thru**"

- By *BlackKnight1983*: **"Some people will say this guy is wrong, while others will think he's doing a service and keeping other people from having their rights violated. I came across a guy like this a few years back (more than 7 to be exact, so the SOL is up on this).** This guy kept calling regarding an empty apartment that I had listed for rent. He'd make an appointment to see the apartment, and then not show up. Each time, he'd call back a couple of days later with an excuse, and then ask for forgiveness and set up a new time. After the 3rd or 4th time, I just told him a time that was convenient to me and he'd agree, and then not show up. This went on for about a month, until one day I get a call out of the blue from my local housing department asking how the wheelchair ramp installation was coming for this guy. They wanted to know when I'd have the work completed. I told them that it was a bit premature as he hadn't signed a lease, or even came to see the apartment. A week or so later, they followed up, I found out that a discrimination complaint had been filed and that a lawsuit was going to be filed. I would have been screwed, but I knew how to handle this. After the first call, I moved one of my handicapped friends into the apartment (he lived there for a few weeks to a month), the investigators talked to him and found it doubtful that I was discriminating as I had rented to another handicapped person. They believed my story (which was true) that the guy who filed the complaint never showed up. My new tenant was an amputee that got around on crutches, so we didn't need a ramp to be built. Was it a "dick move" on my part? Maybe, but I wasn't going to have everything

I've worked for taken away by a con-artist. I never re-listed the apartment, I just let it sit empty. It's not worth the risk to me. Now, I only rent to people I know. **It's easy to say that a guy like this is providing a public service, and keeping peoples rights from being violated by filing lawsuits over technicalities. No one will feel sad when it's a big corporation, but what about when it's a hard working little guy? In my opinion, the guy is committing fraud against these companies. He has no desire to actually get a job from any of these companies, and is only wasting their time and resources.** There was a guy like this in California. **He used a disabilities protection law to extort money from companies.** He'd go in as a customer (he was in a wheelchair, if I remember correctly) and look for minor violations like the rails in the bathroom being an inch too low or high. Under the law, he'd get a portion of the fines which could be substantial. The businesses didn't have the right to cure, so they had to pay. Of course he claimed he was providing a public service. Do you agree with him? If so, do you think your neighbor should be able to get a "radar gun" and start issuing his own tickets. Maybe your neighbor could inspect your house for building code violations, like peeling paint? In both of those scenarios, he could show how he'd been wronged by your actions. This is a slippery slope."

- By *IndyPoolPlayer*: **"Being a serial litigant might get him kicked out of some courts as an abuser of processes. It's also someone like him who would send out resumes in bulk, then sue the employers who didn't grant him an interview for civil rights violations and other assorted things. He'll eventually screw up and sue the wrong company and wind up on the receiving end of a countersuit."**
- By *oldblue*, in response to earlier comment by *PotO* which questioned whether I am evil: **"Not evil just a loser. Nobody cares to read pages of employment applications looking for one little sentence. People**

like him are the reason for pages of bullshit on everything we do these days. Not to mention all the frivolous lawsuits. Hes obviously not lazy but selfish and greedy, he needs to take one of those jobs hes applied for.”

- By *creditrepair2014*, in response to earlier comment by *BlackKnight1983*: “**Wow...I was initially thinking he was providing a public service...but now I'm not so sure.** Sorry that happened to you... but glad you got out of it. Well, you didn't really because you lost income from not renting that apartment.”
- By *banditone*: “**If people can burn the American flag because of a technicality then this guy is doing a public service.**”

Comments made on AutoAdmit forum at

http://www.xoxohth.com/thread.php?thread_id=3267576:

- By *.com*: “**guess ethnicity**”

Comments made on JSOnline MetaComment forum at

<http://www.jsonline.com.metacomment.io/news/wisconsin/professional-plaintiff-uses-credit-law-to-threaten-companies-win-230000-in-settlements-b99748699z1-384400481.html>:

- By *Steve B*: “**He's an example of what is wrong in this country**”
- By *Derek*: “. I just read this article today. It's unbelievable.**I don't think this guy will ever get hired by anyone.**”
- By *Karen W*: “**Seriously. That made me so mad.**”
- By *Stoli*: “I know. **Get a job, idiot.**”

Comments made on TheCatbirdSeat forum at

<http://thecatbirdseat.yuku.com/topic/24937/Professional-plaintiff-uses-credit-law-win-settlement#.V6PPytQrLs1>:

- By *Vitugglan*: “**Here, there is not just a risk that (Groshek) will try to sell out the class — he has already tried to do so,**” lawyers for Time

Warner Cable wrote in a motion filed last year. **Yup, he threatened to litigate on behalf of all people applying for jobs at TWC, but if they'll pay him a certain amount, he'll go away and to hell with the other guys. It's definitely a business for him, and I'll bet the courts will see it that way, too."**

- By *SpaniardX*, in response to the *Vitugglan* comment above: **"Except that, up to now, this douchbag has been winning his settlement cases because it is much cheaper to simply pay him to go away than to call him out and meet him in court."**
- By *Vitugglan*, in response to the *SpaniardX* comment above: **"Looks like someone is about to do that. Even if they end up capitulating, his name is out there as a jerk not to be hired, and as someone who will press a spurious lawsuit. Publicity is bad for people like that, since future victims now know about him."**

Comments made on the Overlawyered article at <http://overlawyered.com/2016/07/professional-fcra-complainant-won-230000-settlements/>:

- By *Noncenx*: **"I imagine this racket will come to a screeching halt now that his name is out there and local businesses are aware of what he's up to. Think about what it would be like for him if he really did need a job. We can only hope."**